

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

**SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL
REAPPORTIONMENT**
Senator Bradley, Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, November 16, 2021

TIME: 1:30—4:30 p.m.

PLACE: *Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building*

MEMBERS: Senator Bradley, Chair; Senators Bean, Harrell, Rouson, and Stewart

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	Workshop on Congressional Maps		Discussed
2	Public Comment		Discussed
Other Related Meeting Documents			

EXHIBIT

J49

SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT

WORKSHOP ON CONGRESSIONAL MAPS

NOVEMBER 16, 2021





Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Committee staff has produced a series of redistricting plans per the directives received on October 18, 2021.
- Districts are numbered to be roughly analogous to their predecessor districts, with the exception of the 28th district.
- In drawing plans, staff relied on the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and existing judicial precedent to ensure that plans comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards.
- Districts were drawn to balance co-equal Tier Two standards of Article III, Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, unless doing so would conflict with Tier One standards.



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- To comply with Tier-One standards:
 - Districts were drawn without reviewing any political data other than where a review of the political data was required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether or not a district denied or abridged a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process or diminished their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
 - Districts were drawn without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress.
 - Districts were drawn without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- To comply with Tier-Two standards, districts were drawn:
 - To be as nearly equal in population as practicable, with district population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221.
 - To be visually compact in relation to their shape and geography. Mathematical scores were used where appropriate.
 - To use county boundaries where feasible. In less populated areas, whole counties were grouped together to make a district or set of districts. In more populated areas where it was feasible to do so, districts were kept wholly within a county.
 - To use geographic features that are easily recognizable and readily ascertainable as district boundaries where feasible. The Boundary Analysis for each plan illustrates the rate at which railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies were used as district boundaries for each district.
 - To keep cities whole where doing so was feasible, while recognizing the impermanent and irregular shapes of municipal boundaries. If or when a city was split, static geographic features were sought out for usage as district boundaries.
- Accordingly, plans contain trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two criteria, and are presented for consideration and exercise of legislative discretion.



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Plans are published and available on www.floridaredistricting.gov, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the [Submitted Plans](#) page for independent analysis:
 - [S000C8002](#)
 - [S000C8004](#)
 - [S000C8006](#)
 - [S000C8008](#)
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
 - [Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment](#)



Plan Packets

- Plan packets contain everything used to analyze a redistricting plan. Data comes from the Redistricting Application, and is reformatted for easier consumption.
 - Statewide map, with insets of South Florida, Jacksonville, Tampa Bay, and Orlando.
 - Census and Boundary Statistics
 - Split Counties and Cities
 - Functional Analysis of districts protected from non-diminishment standards in Tier-One of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution.



Census and Boundary Statistics

- Census and Boundary Statistics
 - District's population deviation from the ideal, also expressed as a percentage
 - Voting Age Population for
 - BVAP – respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
 - HVAP – respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
 - District Area in square miles, Perimeter in miles, and Compactness scores (Convex Hull, Polsby-Popper, and Reock).
 - Counts of whole and partial counties and cities within each district.
 - Counts of counties and cities that have all their population in only one district.
 - Each district's boundary coincidence with certain types of features identified by the US Census Bureau in their TIGER layers. These include those recognized by the Florida Supreme Court as political and geographic boundaries:
 - City boundaries
 - County boundaries
 - Primary and Secondary Roads (Interstates, US Highways, and State Highways)
 - Railroads
 - Water features with a contiguous area of greater than ten acres.
 - The portion of each district's boundary that does not coincide with these features.



Split Cities and Counties

- Split Counties
 - List of counties that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.
- Split Cities
 - List of cities that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.



Functional Analysis – Summary

- Functional Analysis - Summary
 - Lists only the districts for which it is necessary to evaluate whether or not a district denies or abridges a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process, or diminishes their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
 - BVAP – respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
 - HVAP – respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
 - 2020 General Election Voter Registration Information for:
 - Registration by Party
 - Registration by Race or Ethnicity
 - Registration by Race or Ethnicity and Party
 - Registration by Party and Race or Ethnicity



Functional Analysis – Summary

- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 Primary Elections
 - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 General Elections
 - Turnout by Party
 - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
 - Turnout by Race or Ethnicity and Party
- General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020
 - Average Performance (Vote Share) for the Democrat and Republican candidate
 - Count of Wins in Statewide contests for Democrat and Republican candidates
 - Margins
 - Maximum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
 - Minimum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
 - Average margin of victory in statewide contests for either the Democrat or Republican candidate



Functional Analysis – Returns

- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide primary election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018).
- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide General Election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020).




Plan S000C8002


Plan S000C8002


Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
Total	%						City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	270.3	0.80	0.41	0.44	10%	59%	20%	37%	3%	11%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	54
Aggregate number of splits with population	54
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	351
Cities split into more than one district	61
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	121




S000C8002






S000C8002




S000C8002



0 10 20 40 Miles

University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS



S000C8002




S000C8004


Plan S000C8004


Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
Total	%						City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	268.5	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	3%	11%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	6
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	52
Aggregate number of splits with population	52
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	352
Cities split into more than one district	60
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	128
Aggregate number of splits with population	118




S000C8004






S000C8004




S000C8004



0 10 20 40 Miles

University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS



S000C8004




S000C8006


Plan S000C8006


Overall (Range)		Average									
Deviation		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Political and Geographic Boundaries:				
Total	%						City	County	Road	Water	Rail
1	0.00%	2,550.1	269.2	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	2%
							Non-Pol/Geo				
							10%				


District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	6
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	350
Cities split into more than one district	62
Cities with all population in only one district	360
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	122




S000C8006








S000C8006





S000C8006

University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS




S000C8008


Plan S000C8008


Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
Total	%						City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	268.8	0.80	0.42	0.44	10%	60%	21%	37%	2%	10%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	351
Cities split into more than one district	61
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	121




S000C8008






S000C8008




S000C8008



0 10 20 40 Miles

University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS





THE FLORIDA SENATE
COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT

Location
2000 The Capitol

Mailing Address
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100
(850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, *Chair*
Senator Doug Broxson, *Vice Chair*

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, *Staff Director*

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
From: Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair
Subject: Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
Date: October 18, 2021

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
October 18, 2021
Page 2

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are


Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
October 18, 2021
Page 3


not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.





Census and Boundary Statistics

Plan FLCD2016

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	228,137	29.66%	15.54%	24.99%	2,644.6	289.8	0.77	0.36	0.44	49	50	373	84	18%	56%	10%	39%	1%	14%
1	38,660	5.03%	13.23%	6.60%	5,393	412	0.82	0.40	0.40	4	1	21	0	3%	94%	0%	60%	0%	6%
2	-41,365	-5.38%	12.42%	6.68%	14,594	942	0.68	0.21	0.31	14	5	51	3	7%	75%	11%	48%	1%	10%
3	-3,088	-0.40%	16.10%	10.29%	3,844	303	0.89	0.53	0.71	5	1	27	1	19%	75%	14%	25%	0%	7%
4	102,663	13.35%	10.36%	8.84%	1,963	379	0.72	0.17	0.37	1	2	9	1	9%	58%	18%	51%	1%	15%
5	-20,311	-2.64%	46.20%	9.14%	3,910	711	0.71	0.10	0.12	4	4	15	3	7%	59%	17%	10%	2%	16%
6	27,033	3.51%	10.12%	12.07%	2,682	316	0.77	0.34	0.44	2	2	22	3	8%	82%	4%	62%	0%	4%
7	19,297	2.51%	12.19%	24.65%	436	122	0.81	0.37	0.57	1	1	7	4	16%	65%	10%	51%	0%	19%
8	14,532	1.89%	9.68%	10.35%	2,412	271	0.76	0.41	0.34	2	1	21	0	0%	89%	2%	41%	0%	10%
9	186,381	24.23%	14.26%	41.53%	2,620	268	0.87	0.46	0.63	1	2	12	4	17%	49%	14%	5%	6%	17%
10	104,583	13.60%	26.70%	28.95%	516	115	0.89	0.49	0.49	0	1	9	4	19%	70%	15%	21%	0%	11%
11	51,614	6.71%	7.22%	10.12%	3,202	375	0.74	0.29	0.42	3	2	16	8	14%	66%	14%	40%	0%	12%
12	37,916	4.93%	5.83%	12.50%	1,288	187	0.82	0.46	0.38	1	2	8	3	11%	77%	11%	36%	0%	9%
13	-41,756	-5.43%	11.88%	9.81%	610	106	0.93	0.68	0.66	0	1	19	3	38%	74%	2%	89%	0%	4%
14	18,226	2.37%	17.89%	30.15%	366	101	0.82	0.45	0.48	0	1	0	2	43%	38%	10%	32%	1%	28%
15	50,632	6.58%	15.39%	22.74%	1,170	240	0.76	0.26	0.33	0	3	2	8	25%	28%	13%	17%	0%	24%
16	114,826	14.93%	9.33%	15.94%	1,910	213	0.90	0.53	0.58	1	2	7	0	12%	61%	10%	56%	0%	6%
17	10,734	1.40%	7.15%	13.26%	6,546	433	0.77	0.44	0.51	6	3	15	1	4%	69%	9%	28%	3%	9%
18	25,503	3.32%	12.95%	15.60%	1,889	228	0.82	0.45	0.50	2	1	14	4	10%	65%	3%	45%	0%	20%
19	65,791	8.55%	6.78%	18.08%	1,972	249	0.79	0.40	0.34	0	2	8	0	4%	66%	9%	60%	0%	15%
20	7,062	0.92%	52.37%	26.75%	2,406	387	0.75	0.20	0.48	0	2	14	12	30%	35%	10%	11%	1%	33%
21	18,786	2.44%	14.97%	22.58%	353	123	0.64	0.29	0.37	0	1	16	5	29%	24%	12%	30%	1%	37%
22	16,535	2.15%	15.22%	21.37%	253	119	0.73	0.22	0.46	0	2	12	5	25%	28%	12%	32%	2%	32%
23	135	0.02%	15.21%	39.74%	252	112	0.65	0.25	0.35	0	2	12	6	58%	15%	13%	29%	3%	17%
24	-26,679	-3.47%	43.62%	44.87%	115	69	0.77	0.30	0.47	0	2	9	2	64%	13%	15%	29%	7%	19%
25	2,213	0.29%	4.86%	74.37%	3,674	357	0.68	0.36	0.41	1	2	11	1	8%	70%	12%	22%	0%	7%
26	18,693	2.43%	11.34%	72.44%	6,710	594	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	6%	87%	0%	1%
27	-29,396	-3.82%	5.95%	70.35%	317	91	0.88	0.48	0.50	0	1	9	1	21%	26%	25%	61%	0%	8%
28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall numbers

of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan FLCD2016					
	67	49	5	18	49	50
Number of Counties	412	373	39	390	84	67
Counties with only one district						
Districts with only one county						
Counties split into more than one district						
Counties with all population in a single district						
Aggregate number of county splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						
Number of Cities						
Cities with only one district						
Cities split into more than one district						
Cities with all population in only one district						
Aggregate number of city splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						

Split Counties and Cities

Plan FLCD2016

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	519,827	26.7%	890.2	68.0%
Broward	22	645,095	33.2%	187.0	14.3%
Broward	23	691,006	35.5%	218.0	16.7%
Broward	24	88,447	4.6%	13.2	1.0%
Collier	19	168,394	44.8%	636.6	24.4%
Collier	25	207,358	55.2%	1,968.6	75.6%
Columbia	2	58,045	83.3%	431.0	53.8%
Columbia	5	11,653	16.7%	370.3	46.2%
Duval	4	542,200	54.5%	647.5	70.5%
Duval	5	453,367	45.5%	271.0	29.5%
Hillsborough	12	13,604	0.9%	21.4	1.6%
Hillsborough	14	787,447	53.9%	366.3	27.5%
Hillsborough	15	408,739	28.0%	376.5	28.3%
Hillsborough	16	249,972	17.1%	567.8	42.6%
Holmes	1	11,003	56.0%	388.6	79.5%
Holmes	2	8,650	44.0%	100.4	20.5%
Jefferson	2	1,154	8.0%	245.9	36.6%
Jefferson	5	13,356	92.1%	426.7	63.4%
Lake	6	93,240	24.3%	446.2	38.6%
Lake	11	171,836	44.8%	413.0	35.7%
Lake	15	118,880	31.0%	297.6	25.7%
Lee	17	94,204	12.4%	179.0	11.8%
Lee	19	666,618	87.6%	1,335.8	88.2%
Leon	2	125,721	43.0%	211.6	30.1%
Leon	5	166,477	57.0%	490.2	69.9%
Marion	2	53,370	14.2%	275.6	16.6%
Marion	3	151,649	40.3%	854.4	51.4%
Marion	11	170,889	45.5%	532.7	32.0%
Miami-Dade	23	78,350	2.9%	33.8	1.4%
Miami-Dade	24	654,095	24.2%	102.0	4.3%
Miami-Dade	25	524,457	19.4%	516.3	21.6%
Miami-Dade	26	705,040	26.1%	1,420.0	59.4%
Miami-Dade	27	739,825	27.4%	317.3	13.3%
Orange	7	317,662	22.2%	90.3	9.0%
Orange	8	17,353	1.2%	238.1	23.7%
Orange	9	221,089	15.5%	158.9	15.8%
Orange	10	873,804	61.1%	516.1	51.4%
Palm Beach	18	307,067	20.6%	448.3	18.8%
Palm Beach	20	256,456	17.2%	1,515.8	63.6%
Palm Beach	21	788,007	52.8%	353.0	14.8%
Palm Beach	22	140,661	9.4%	66.2	2.8%
Pinellas	12	231,642	24.2%	252.3	29.3%
Pinellas	13	727,465	75.9%	610.2	70.8%
Polk	9	345,857	47.7%	955.2	47.5%
Polk	15	292,234	40.3%	496.1	24.7%
Polk	17	86,955	12.0%	559.1	27.8%
Sarasota	16	234,365	54.0%	378.3	38.8%
Sarasota	17	199,641	46.0%	597.3	61.2%
St. Johns	4	239,332	87.5%	589.2	71.7%
St. Johns	6	34,093	12.5%	232.3	28.3%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Auburndale	9	15,606	99.9%	20.4	99.2%	Pompano Beach	22	76,608	68.4%	16.1	65.2%												
Auburndale	15	10	0.1%	0.2	0.8%	Riviera Beach	18	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Boca Raton	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Riviera Beach	20	37,604	100.0%	9.7	100.0%												
Boca Raton	22	97,422	100.0%	31.6	100.0%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,526	42.5%	5.6	47.6%												
Clearwater	12	19,795	16.9%	5.3	14.8%	Royal Palm Beach	20	22,346	57.4%	6.1	51.8%												
Clearwater	13	97,497	83.1%	30.6	85.2%	Royal Palm Beach	21	60	0.2%	0.1	0.6%												
Clermont	11	76	0.2%	0.4	2.0%	Safety Harbor	12	17,072	100.0%	5.1	100.0%												
Clermont	15	42,945	99.8%	18.7	98.0%	Safety Harbor	13	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Dania Beach	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Sunrise	20	45,532	46.8%	6.4	35.1%												
Dania Beach	23	31,723	100.0%	8.3	100.0%	Sunrise	23	51,803	53.2%	11.8	64.9%												
Eatonville	7	0	0.0%	0.1	4.0%	Tallahassee	2	77,828	39.7%	54.4	52.4%												
Eatonville	10	2,349	100.0%	1.1	96.1%	Tallahassee	5	118,341	60.3%	49.4	47.6%												
Eustis	6	23,189	100.0%	12.8	99.7%	Tampa	14	384,959	100.0%	175.8	100.0%												
Eustis	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Tampa	15	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	59,474	32.5%	12.3	33.9%	Tavares	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%												
Fort Lauderdale	22	123,208	67.4%	23.9	65.7%	Tavares	11	19,003	100.0%	13.8	99.9%												
Fort Lauderdale	23	78	0.0%	0.1	0.4%	Temple Terrace	14	6	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Groveland	11	46	0.3%	4.8	18.3%	Temple Terrace	15	26,684	100.0%	7.6	100.0%												
Groveland	15	18,459	99.8%	21.4	81.7%	Wellington	20	112	0.2%	0.1	0.1%												
Hollywood	23	86,010	56.2%	21.5	69.8%	Wellington	21	61,525	99.8%	45.4	99.9%												
Hollywood	24	67,057	43.8%	9.3	30.2%	West Palm Beach	18	27,356	23.3%	36.1	62.3%												
Jacksonville	4	496,244	52.3%	603.5	69.0%	West Palm Beach	20	57,443	48.9%	15.3	26.4%												
Jacksonville	5	453,367	47.7%	271.0	31.0%	West Palm Beach	21	32,616	27.8%	6.6	11.3%												
Lake City	2	8,072	65.5%	7.5	61.5%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.1	0.4%												
Lake City	5	4,257	34.5%	4.7	38.5%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	26.0	99.7%												
Maitland	7	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Winter Haven	9	49,219	100.0%	41.1	100.0%												
Maitland	10	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Haven	17	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Mascotte	11	6,609	100.0%	18.1	100.0%	Winter Park	7	29,774	99.9%	10.4	100.0%												
Mascotte	15	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Park	10	21	0.1%	0.0	0.0%												
Miami	24	147,747	33.4%	18.9	33.7%																		
Miami	25	6,034	1.4%	0.6	1.1%																		
Miami	27	288,460	65.2%	36.6	65.2%																		
Minneola	11	13,825	99.9%	11.4	99.8%																		
Minneola	15	18	0.1%	0.0	0.2%																		
Mount Dora	6	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.8%																		
Mount Dora	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%																		
Oakland Park	20	10,353	23.4%	2.4	29.3%																		
Oakland Park	22	33,876	76.6%	5.8	70.7%																		
Ocala	2	0	0.0%	0.2	0.3%																		
Ocala	3	63,566	100.0%	45.6	96.5%																		
Ocala	11	25	0.0%	1.5	3.2%																		
Oldsmar	12	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%																		
Oldsmar	13	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%																		
Orlando	7	70,064	22.8%	16.4	13.8%																		
Orlando	9	74,391	24.2%	48.7	41.0%																		
Orlando	10	163,118	53.0%	53.7	45.2%																		
Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%																		
Palm Beach	21	9,245	100.0%	7.8	99.8%																		
Palm Beach Gardens	18	59,182	100.0%	59.3	100.0%																		
Palm Beach Gardens	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%																		
Plantation	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%																		
Plantation	23	91,750	100.0%	22.1	100.0%																		
Polk City	9	8	0.3%	0.1	2.0%																		
Polk City	15	2,705	99.7%	5.0	98.0%																		
Pompano Beach	20	35,438	31.6%	8.6	34.8%																		

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan FLCD2016

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	46.20%	9.14%	56.62%	24.04%	19.34%	46.07%	4.78%	84.27%	2.69%	13.03%	44.76%	19.87%	34.91%	68.56%	3.78%	5.15%	3.95%	31.03%	8.62%
9	14.26%	41.53%	39.35%	27.53%	33.12%	10.82%	36.46%	74.89%	3.67%	21.41%	45.38%	13.86%	40.75%	20.60%	42.06%	1.44%	18.35%	6.99%	44.86%
10	26.70%	28.95%	45.12%	24.69%	30.19%	23.22%	21.39%	77.55%	3.27%	19.17%	46.15%	15.09%	38.74%	39.90%	21.88%	3.07%	13.07%	14.74%	27.45%
20	52.37%	26.75%	62.04%	12.78%	25.18%	49.67%	18.33%	81.53%	2.49%	15.95%	43.62%	19.47%	36.81%	65.28%	12.89%	9.69%	27.93%	31.47%	26.80%
24	43.62%	44.87%	61.55%	12.12%	26.34%	46.16%	31.90%	82.85%	2.45%	14.68%	42.06%	21.48%	36.45%	62.14%	21.80%	9.35%	56.56%	25.72%	44.13%
25	4.86%	74.37%	27.57%	40.22%	32.21%	3.13%	62.61%	73.13%	5.88%	20.88%	27.47%	37.80%	34.73%	8.29%	62.39%	0.46%	58.84%	2.03%	67.50%
26	11.34%	72.44%	34.64%	32.40%	32.96%	10.02%	62.59%	78.51%	3.29%	18.16%	28.59%	35.99%	35.41%	22.71%	51.67%	1.02%	69.53%	5.52%	67.25%
27	5.95%	70.35%	35.31%	32.02%	32.67%	4.60%	58.79%	75.92%	4.30%	19.74%	29.35%	37.54%	33.10%	9.89%	48.88%	0.62%	68.94%	2.78%	59.56%


Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan FLCD2016

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout				Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020									
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins		
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	46.20%	9.14%	66.89%	1.10%	3.18%	1.60%	60.90%	26.03%	13.05%	67.14%	2.42%	3.77%	2.87%	27.66%	6.62%	89.83%	2.15%	7.94%	46.26%	24.61%	27.94%	60.9%	37.6%	14	0	D +37%	D +11.8%	D +23.7%
9	14.26%	41.53%	21.78%	25.89%	0.79%	8.48%	41.14%	33.73%	25.13%	21.65%	33.88%	1.08%	12.62%	6.39%	34.24%	81.78%	3.31%	14.78%	52.01%	15.84%	32.10%	51.2%	47.0%	11	3	D +24.6%	D +1.7%	D +4.5%
10	26.70%	28.95%	49.28%	11.19%	2.05%	6.42%	46.21%	30.41%	23.38%	43.09%	17.79%	2.27%	9.37%	13.12%	22.22%	84.01%	2.91%	13.03%	50.49%	17.46%	31.98%	58.6%	39.8%	14	0	D +32.1%	D +1%	D +19.3%
20	52.37%	26.75%	71.18%	4.86%	7.69%	16.30%	67.65%	13.26%	19.08%	66.96%	10.00%	8.22%	23.91%	30.92%	23.72%	86.57%	2.08%	11.27%	46.24%	22.14%	31.26%	80.3%	18.9%	14	0	D +68.4%	D +55.2%	D +61.6%
24	43.62%	44.87%	72.58%	10.82%	8.04%	53.14%	68.80%	11.56%	19.63%	66.18%	17.39%	8.49%	52.65%	27.10%	41.06%	87.88%	1.89%	10.21%	45.45%	23.64%	30.88%	82.2%	16.9%	14	0	D +72.4%	D +51.4%	D +65.4%
25	4.86%	74.37%	9.41%	48.39%	0.28%	56.85%	27.94%	45.66%	26.40%	9.02%	56.83%	0.35%	56.62%	1.74%	63.79%	80.09%	5.11%	14.74%	27.06%	44.21%	28.72%	39.7%	59.0%	0	14	R +32.8%	R +2.2%	R +19.1%
26	11.34%	72.44%	27.03%	33.42%	0.62%	65.65%	36.69%	36.43%	26.88%	24.64%	44.68%	0.85%	66.14%	5.39%	63.18%	83.69%	2.86%	13.40%	28.38%	42.08%	29.53%	51.4%	47.4%	9	5	D +16.2%	R +1.2%	D +4.3%
27	5.95%	70.35%	12.14%	36.09%	0.35%	72.64%	36.60%	36.49%	26.92%	10.67%	43.66%	0.48%	68.13%	2.60%	58.06%	81.50%	3.71%	14.68%	28.21%	44.12%	27.66%	51.9%	47.0%	11	3	D +19.5%	D +0.6%	D +5.5%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
Plan FLCD2016			BVAP							
Primary Elections			HVAP							
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	46.20%	14.26%	26.70%	52.37%	43.62%	4.86%	11.34%	5.95%
		R_DeSantis	9.14%	41.53%	28.95%	26.75%	44.87%	74.37%	72.44%	70.35%
		R_Devine	0.67%	0.60%	0.73%	1.46%	2.49%	1.72%	1.79%	1.49%
		R_Langford	50.91%	43.76%	53.04%	60.72%	63.42%	66.96%	67.81%	67.52%
		R_Mercadante	1.16%	1.26%	1.61%	2.07%	3.63%	2.79%	3.35%	3.02%
		R_Nathan	1.21%	1.03%	1.61%	1.66%	2.18%	1.40%	1.69%	1.59%
		R_Putnam	0.47%	0.75%	0.86%	1.54%	2.92%	1.78%	1.98%	2.15%
		R_White	0.75%	0.66%	0.86%	1.49%	2.13%	1.02%	1.36%	1.55%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	42.67%	49.98%	38.99%	25.91%	17.92%	21.75%	18.18%	19.02%
		D_Graham	1.63%	1.83%	2.10%	2.92%	4.65%	2.52%	3.59%	3.42%
		D_Greene	58.61%	30.20%	45.10%	57.43%	53.26%	22.99%	34.50%	25.61%
		D_King	22.66%	31.00%	27.10%	11.98%	10.90%	23.62%	20.26%	23.07%
		D_Levine	5.55%	13.26%	9.56%	9.05%	10.02%	11.00%	10.07%	7.50%
		D_Lundmark	1.33%	3.87%	3.50%	0.79%	0.88%	2.82%	1.97%	1.52%
		D_Wetherbee	10.24%	18.68%	13.36%	19.91%	23.97%	36.52%	31.17%	40.79%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	0.45%	1.15%	0.47%	0.27%	0.46%	1.50%	0.89%	0.71%
		R_White	0.79%	1.60%	0.82%	0.32%	0.40%	1.43%	0.95%	0.62%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	58.32%	57.66%	55.16%	55.28%	51.96%	51.91%	54.79%	54.98%
		D_Torrens	41.59%	42.32%	44.85%	44.03%	47.82%	48.07%	45.11%	45.03%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	79.03%	62.16%	72.79%	82.42%	82.19%	62.60%	71.18%	73.79%
		R_Grimsley	20.91%	37.82%	27.22%	17.55%	17.80%	37.41%	28.82%	26.23%
		R_McCalister	36.46%	28.61%	35.26%	42.40%	40.96%	42.29%	42.31%	39.76%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	R_Troutman	22.86%	27.50%	31.60%	26.92%	30.65%	28.78%	31.19%	32.65%
		D_Fried	9.16%	11.88%	15.39%	20.21%	17.38%	12.73%	16.58%	17.11%
		D_Porter	30.93%	31.82%	17.64%	8.43%	10.37%	16.18%	9.67%	10.38%
	US Senate (REP)	D_Walker	60.02%	53.62%	55.07%	62.94%	57.49%	50.87%	53.09%	61.30%
		R_De La Fuente	19.67%	18.77%	18.25%	16.26%	18.80%	19.65%	20.63%	13.91%
		R_Scott	20.19%	27.55%	26.67%	20.75%	23.70%	29.42%	26.23%	24.76%
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	11.04%	9.15%	11.06%	14.74%	17.08%	9.41%	12.22%	13.20%
		R_Rivera	88.77%	90.79%	88.92%	84.33%	82.85%	90.58%	87.73%	86.78%
		R_Rubio	21.35%	19.39%	17.64%	13.07%	8.02%	9.59%	6.37%	6.02%
		R_Young	3.83%	3.05%	2.52%	4.45%	3.64%	2.20%	2.81%	1.99%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	68.10%	70.54%	74.37%	72.02%	79.92%	84.44%	86.01%	88.05%
		D_Grayson	6.23%	6.82%	5.36%	8.29%	7.97%	3.74%	4.77%	3.79%
		D_Keith	4.17%	12.55%	4.89%	3.29%	6.37%	20.68%	12.73%	11.64%
		D_Luster	18.26%	39.05%	42.68%	9.52%	10.12%	12.29%	11.19%	11.78%
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	14.98%	10.52%	12.27%	14.91%	13.73%	14.57%	15.83%	17.86%
		R_Adeshina	11.29%	1.42%	2.23%	2.35%	2.89%	1.72%	1.85%	1.30%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	50.92%	36.18%	37.83%	69.44%	66.77%	50.65%	58.14%	57.19%
	Governor (DEM)	R_Scott	1.50%	1.58%	1.64%	2.45%	3.30%	1.26%	1.82%	1.88%
		D_Crist	8.71%	10.78%	9.92%	15.26%	15.62%	10.21%	14.79%	13.77%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Rich	89.43%	87.50%	88.32%	80.79%	80.75%	88.46%	83.24%	84.26%
		D_Sheldon	75.18%	74.85%	80.06%	84.62%	84.92%	74.04%	78.65%	73.25%
		D_Thurston	24.65%	24.92%	19.90%	15.25%	15.09%	25.79%	21.27%	26.63%
2012	US Senate (REP)	D_Mack	61.06%	59.89%	50.11%	37.37%	44.20%	67.24%	58.97%	69.29%
		R_McCalister	38.89%	39.98%	49.91%	62.53%	55.81%	32.69%	40.88%	30.61%
		R_Stuart	56.41%	47.68%	57.84%	65.27%	71.55%	73.61%	73.54%	76.92%
		R_Weldon	18.56%	12.36%	11.36%	12.26%	7.28%	8.49%	7.35%	5.34%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	6.21%	6.68%	5.24%	7.54%	13.33%	11.71%	13.34%	12.65%
		D_Nelson	17.97%	32.91%	25.43%	12.50%	7.17%	6.17%	5.61%	4.86%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan FLCD2016			BVAP	46.20%	14.26%	26.70%	52.37%	43.62%	4.86%	11.34%	5.95%
General Elections			HVAP	9.14%	41.53%	28.95%	26.75%	44.87%	74.37%	72.44%	70.35%
2020	President	D_Biden	62.59%	52.99%	62.01%	77.32%	75.42%	37.85%	46.86%	51.18%	
		R_Trump	36.27%	46.05%	37.02%	22.12%	24.00%	61.61%	52.56%	48.27%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	64.88%	54.67%	63.26%	82.18%	83.57%	41.38%	53.02%	54.60%	
		R_DeSantis	34.20%	43.91%	35.72%	17.25%	15.65%	57.27%	45.81%	44.34%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	61.58%	51.49%	59.61%	80.60%	81.90%	39.54%	51.46%	53.50%	
		R_Moody	36.88%	46.64%	38.78%	18.20%	16.58%	58.45%	46.41%	44.58%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	62.80%	54.02%	61.48%	81.86%	83.36%	40.97%	52.49%	54.18%	
		R_Patronis	37.19%	45.98%	38.52%	18.14%	16.63%	59.03%	47.51%	45.82%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	63.83%	55.52%	63.22%	82.12%	83.61%	42.21%	53.96%	56.28%	
		R_Caldwell	36.16%	44.48%	36.78%	17.86%	16.38%	57.78%	46.04%	43.73%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	64.78%	53.96%	62.76%	81.94%	83.27%	41.92%	54.00%	55.96%	
		R_Scott	35.22%	46.05%	37.24%	18.07%	16.73%	58.08%	46.00%	44.03%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	60.92%	54.79%	61.76%	80.18%	82.88%	47.70%	56.76%	58.46%	
		R_Trump	36.19%	41.90%	34.88%	18.09%	15.39%	49.90%	40.56%	38.98%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	55.43%	49.67%	55.92%	77.24%	77.67%	37.44%	48.20%	49.24%	
		R_Rubio	41.30%	46.03%	40.32%	20.99%	20.18%	60.34%	49.43%	48.63%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	59.33%	47.91%	55.49%	81.52%	84.02%	37.15%	52.07%	51.41%	
		R_Scott	37.10%	46.26%	40.09%	16.60%	14.28%	60.11%	45.06%	46.13%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	55.70%	43.06%	52.45%	78.03%	81.43%	32.54%	46.83%	47.62%	
		R_Bondi	41.85%	54.00%	44.81%	20.66%	17.08%	65.13%	50.81%	50.30%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	55.92%	43.89%	50.63%	77.67%	81.51%	33.79%	46.85%	44.48%	
		R_Atwater	44.08%	56.11%	49.36%	22.32%	18.49%	66.20%	53.13%	55.52%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	57.80%	40.71%	50.50%	79.21%	81.92%	33.60%	47.07%	45.47%	
		R_Putnam	42.18%	59.29%	49.50%	20.77%	18.07%	66.39%	52.92%	54.53%	
2012	President	D_Obama	63.57%	55.67%	60.78%	82.50%	85.78%	44.91%	55.48%	53.07%	
		R_Romney	35.62%	43.46%	38.48%	17.12%	13.88%	54.57%	43.97%	46.40%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	67.35%	60.89%	65.14%	83.62%	85.64%	47.11%	57.03%	55.41%	
		R_Mack	30.31%	36.34%	33.01%	15.26%	13.24%	50.65%	41.37%	43.23%	



Census and Boundary Statistics

Plan S000C8002

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	270.3	0.80	0.41	0.44	46	54	351	132	10%	59%	20%	37%	3%	11%
1	0	0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	339	0.87	0.48	0.54	3	1	16	0	4%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0	0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	872	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0	0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0	0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.42	0.66	1	2	7	5	7%	40%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0	0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0	0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.36	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0	0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	17%	65%	24%	21%	5%	5%
11	0	0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,551	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	6	9%	65%	21%	40%	0%	11%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0	0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0	0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0	0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	260	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	7	16%	5%	38%	2%	6%	45%
22	0	0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	32%	42%	13%	9%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0	0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8002					
	67	46	5	21	46	54
Number of Counties	412	351	61	362	132	121
Counties with only one district						
Districts with only one county						
Counties split into more than one district						
Counties with all population in a single district						
Aggregate number of county splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						
Number of Cities						
Cities with only one district						
Cities split into more than one district						
Cities with all population in only one district						
Aggregate number of city splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8002

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.6	16.2%
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	72.1	5.5%
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.5	11.1%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	3	194,667	51.8%	1,102.6	66.3%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	560.1	33.7%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.5	7.9%
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.1	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.3	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000C8002

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	219	3.1%	0.2	4.5%	Maitland	10	5,888	30.1%	1.7	26.7%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%						
Belle Isle	10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	95.5%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%						
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%						
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%						
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%						
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%						
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%						
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%						
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.6%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%	Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%						
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.4%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%						
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.1	77.4%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%						
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%						
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Mount Dora	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%						
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Mount Dora	11	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.9%	West Palm Beach	22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%						
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Newberry	2	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%	West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%						
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Newberry	3	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.6%	West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%						
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%						
Deerfield Beach	21	41,698	48.0%	8.5	52.6%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%						
Deerfield Beach	22	15,811	18.2%	3.0	18.2%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%						
Delray Beach	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%						
Delray Beach	22	66,846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Ocala	3	63,183	99.4%	44.0	93.2%	Winter Park	7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%						
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Ocala	11	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8%	Winter Park											

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8002

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%	
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%	
10	28.58%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.84%	45.57%	15.95%	38.45%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%	
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.57%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%	
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%	
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%	
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%	
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%	


Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8002

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout				Average General Election Turnout																General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020							
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins				
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG		
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%		
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%		
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.68%	1.93%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.93%	12.67%	2.18%	7.01%	14.00%	16.57%	84.30%	2.85%	12.79%	49.39%	19.08%	31.34%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%		
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.06%	3.92%	6.62%	9.04%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.67%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%		
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%		
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%		
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%		
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%		

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8002 Primary Elections			BVAP HVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%	
		R_DeSantis	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%	
		R_Devine	52.43%	52.06%	52.08%	61.25%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%	
		R_Langford	1.13%	2.07%	1.41%	2.05%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%	
		R_Mercadante	1.15%	1.53%	1.63%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%	
		R_Nathan	0.43%	1.30%	0.74%	1.48%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%	
		R_Putnam	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.51%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%	
	Governor (DEM)	R_White	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.48%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%	
		D_Gillum	1.64%	2.66%	2.06%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%	
		D_Graham	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%	
		D_Greene	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%	
		D_King	5.74%	14.10%	8.69%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%	
		D_Levine	1.45%	4.42%	3.73%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%	
		D_Lundmark	10.70%	19.37%	12.44%	20.37%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%	
	Attorney General (REP)	D_Wetherbee	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%	
		R_Moody	0.84%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	R_White	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.38%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%	
		D_Shaw	42.22%	45.85%	44.61%	43.05%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	D_Torrens	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%	
		R_Caldwell	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.49%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%	
		R_Grimsley	35.65%	36.39%	34.81%	43.34%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	R_McCalister	21.36%	31.75%	31.44%	25.53%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%	
		R_Troutman	8.71%	16.31%	15.41%	20.22%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%	
		D_Fried	34.14%	15.47%	18.25%	8.87%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%	
	US Senate (REP)	D_Porter	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%	
		D_Walker	20.04%	18.96%	17.51%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%	
		R_De La Fuente	19.85%	26.15%	27.25%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Scott	10.22%	10.25%	11.19%	14.51%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%	
		R_Beruff	89.70%	89.72%	88.75%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%	
		R_Rivera	22.32%	17.31%	17.64%	14.23%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%	
		R_Rubio	3.73%	3.23%	2.40%	4.62%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%	
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Young	68.00%	71.67%	74.47%	70.06%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%	
		D_De La Fuente	5.84%	7.69%	5.25%	9.13%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%	
		D_Grayson	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.87%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%	
		D_Keith	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.80%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%	
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Luster	15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%	
		D_Murphy	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%	
		R_Adeshina	50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%	
	Governor (DEM)	R_Cuevas-Neunder	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.87%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%	
		D_Crist	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.80%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%	
		D_Rich	15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%	
Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%		
	D_Thurston	60.85%	60.81%	49.60%	38.93%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%		
2012	US Senate (REP)	D_Nelson	39.16%	39.12%	50.42%	60.97%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%	
		R_Mack	57.58%	48.40%	58.28%	64.02%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%	
		R_McCalister	18.69%	12.02%	10.83%	13.00%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%	
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Stuart	5.97%	6.84%	4.85%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%	
		R_Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.11%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%	

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8002			BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%	50.01%
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.47%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.29%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%	
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%	
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.94%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.67%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%	
		R_Rubio	43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.08%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%	
		R_Putnam	44.42%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%	
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%	
		R_Mack	32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%	



Census and Boundary Statistics

Plan S000C8004

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	268.5	0.80	0.42	0.45	47	52	352	128	10%	60%	20%	38%	3%	11%
1	0	0.00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0	0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0	0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0	0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.42	0.66	1	2	7	5	7%	40%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0	0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0	0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.36	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0	0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	17%	65%	24%	21%	5%	5%
11	0	0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,546	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	5	11%	64%	20%	40%	1%	10%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0	0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0	0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0	0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	361	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	9	9%	19%	32%	30%	10%	27%
22	0	0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	122	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	16%	33%	21%	36%	13%	22%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0	0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8004					
	67	47	6	20	47	52
Number of Counties	412	352	60	362	128	118
Counties with only one district						
Districts with only one county						
Counties split into more than one district						
Counties with all population in a single district						
Aggregate number of county splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						
Number of Cities						
Cities with only one district						
Cities split into more than one district						
Cities with all population in only one district						
Aggregate number of city splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8004

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.6	16.6%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	2	39,930	10.6%	233.6	14.1%
Marion	3	154,737	41.2%	874.2	52.6%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	554.9	33.4%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.5	15.1%
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.3	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.1	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000C8004

Cities included in more than one district							Cities included in more than one district							Cities included in more than one district							Cities included in more than one district						
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%		City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%		City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%		City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	
Belle Isle	9	219	3.1%	0.2	4.5%		Maitland	7	13,655	69.9%	4.8	73.3%		Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%		Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%	
Belle Isle	10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	95.5%		Maitland	10	5,888	30.1%	1.7	26.7%		Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%		Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%	
Boca Raton	21	44,619	45.8%	17.3	54.7%		Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%		Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%		Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%	
Boca Raton	22	52,803	54.2%	14.3	45.3%		Margate	22	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%		Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%		Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%	
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%		Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%		Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%		Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%	
Boynton Beach	21	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%		Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%		Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%		Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%	
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%		Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%		Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%		Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%	
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%		Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%		West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%		West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%	
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%		Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%		West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%		West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%	
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%		Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%		West Palm Beach	21	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%		West Palm Beach	21	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%	
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.6%		Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%		West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%		West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	
Coconut Creek	22	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.4%		Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.1	77.4%		West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%		West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%	
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%		Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%		Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%		Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%	
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%		Mount Dora	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%		Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%		Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%	
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%		Mount Dora	11	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.9%		Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%		Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%	
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%		North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%		Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%		Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%	
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%		North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%		Winter Park	7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%		Winter Park	7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%	
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%		Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%		Winter Park	10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%		Winter Park	10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%	
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%		Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%															
Deerfield Beach	22	57,509	66.2%	11.5	70.7%		Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%															
DeFuniak Springs	1	860	14.5%	3.7	25.7%		Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%															
DeFuniak Springs	2	5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.3%		Orlando	7	22,957	7.5%	5.7	4.8%															
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%		Orlando	9	103,806	33.8%	68.6	57.7%															
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%		Orlando	10	180,810	58.8%	44.5	37.5%															
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%		Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%															
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%		Palm Beach	21	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%															
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%		Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%															
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%		Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%															
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%		Pembroke Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%															
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.3%		Pembroke Park	24	6,260	100.0%	1.7	99.2%															
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.8	19.8%		Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%															
Groveland	11	16,864	91.1%	25.3	96.8%		Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%															
Groveland	28	1,641	8.9%	0.8	3.2%		Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%															
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%		Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%															
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%		Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%															
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%		Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%															
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%		Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%															
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%		Pompano Beach	22	58,514	52.2%	14.2	57.4%															
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%		Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.8	29.5%															
Hypoluxo	21	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%		Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%															
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	413.0	47.2%		Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%															
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.5	52.8%		Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.0%															
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%		Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	9.1%															
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%		St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%															
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%		St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%															
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%		St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%															
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%		St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%															
Lake Worth Beach	21	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%		Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%															
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%		Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%															
Lantana	21	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%		Sweetwater	25	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%															
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%		Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%															
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.5	12.5%		Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%															
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%		Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%															
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	43.7%		Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%															
Loxahatchee Groves	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.4%		Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%															

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8004

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%	
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%	
10	28.58%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.84%	45.57%	15.95%	38.45%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%	
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%	
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%	
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%	
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%	
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%	


Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8004

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout				Average General Election Turnout																General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020							
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins				
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG		
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%		
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%		
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.68%	1.93%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.93%	12.67%	2.18%	7.01%	14.00%	16.57%	84.30%	2.85%	12.79%	49.39%	19.08%	31.34%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%		
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.93%	6.62%	9.08%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.68%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%		
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%		
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%		
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%		
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%		

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
Plan S000C8004			BVAP							
Primary Elections			HVAP							
			43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
			9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.06%	52.08%	61.24%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.41%	2.06%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
		R_Langford	1.15%	1.53%	1.63%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
		R_Mercadante	0.43%	1.30%	0.74%	1.50%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.52%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.47%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
		R_White	1.64%	2.66%	2.06%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.74%	14.10%	8.69%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
		D_King	1.45%	4.42%	3.73%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.37%	12.44%	20.38%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
		D_Wetherbee	0.84%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.36%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
		R_White	42.22%	45.85%	44.61%	43.07%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
		D_Torrens	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.48%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.39%	34.81%	43.36%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
		R_Grimsley	21.36%	31.75%	31.44%	25.56%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%
		R_McCalister	8.71%	16.31%	15.41%	20.28%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	R_Troutman	34.14%	15.47%	18.25%	8.89%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%
		D_Fried	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%
		D_Porter	20.04%	18.96%	17.51%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%
		D_Walker	19.85%	26.15%	27.25%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.25%	11.19%	14.55%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%
		R_Scott	89.70%	89.72%	88.75%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	22.32%	17.31%	17.64%	14.27%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
		R_Rivera	3.73%	3.23%	2.40%	4.63%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.67%	74.47%	70.05%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.84%	7.69%	5.25%	9.17%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.88%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.81%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%
		D_Keith	15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%
		R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.74%	1.63%	2.74%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.32%	9.55%	14.53%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
	Governor (DEM)	R_Scott	90.46%	85.83%	88.59%	80.91%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
		D_Crist	74.34%	76.23%	78.97%	83.86%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Rich	25.59%	23.71%	21.01%	15.97%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
		D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.81%	49.60%	38.92%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
2012	US Senate (REP)	D_Thurston	39.16%	39.12%	50.42%	60.98%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%
		R_Mack	57.58%	48.40%	58.28%	64.03%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		R_McCalister	18.69%	12.02%	10.83%	13.05%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
		R_Stuart	5.97%	6.84%	4.85%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.16%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
		D_Burkett	22.04%	19.85%	13.56%	14.17%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
		D_Nelson	77.91%	80.11%	86.31%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8004			BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.47%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.29%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%	
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%	
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.94%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%	
		R_Rubio	43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.09%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%	
		R_Putnam	44.42%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%	
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%	
		R_Mack	32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%	



Plan S000C8006

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	269.2	0.80	0.42	0.45	46	53	350	132	10%	60%	20%	38%	2%	10%
1	0	0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	339	0.87	0.48	0.54	3	1	16	0	4%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0	0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	872	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0	0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0	0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.48	0.66	1	2	7	6	6%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
8	0	0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0	0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
10	0	0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
11	0	0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,551	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	6	9%	65%	21%	40%	0%	11%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0	0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0	0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0	0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	361	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	9	9%	19%	32%	30%	10%	27%
22	0	0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	122	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	16%	33%	21%	36%	13%	22%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0	0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8006					
	67	46	6	21	46	53
Number of Counties	412	350	62	360	132	122
Counties with only one district						
Districts with only one county						
Counties split into more than one district						
Counties with all population in a single district						
Aggregate number of county splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						
Number of Cities						
Cities with only one district						
Cities split into more than one district						
Cities with all population in only one district						
Aggregate number of city splits						
Aggregate number of splits with population						

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8006

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.6	16.2%
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.6	16.6%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	3	194,667	51.8%	1,102.6	66.3%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	560.1	33.7%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	81.8	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.2%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.5	15.1%
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.1	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.3	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8006

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%	
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%	
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.94%	38.46%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%	
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%	
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%	
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%	
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%	
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%	


Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8006

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout				Average General Election Turnout																General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020							
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins				
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG		
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%		
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%		
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.81%	45.72%	32.02%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.12%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%		
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.93%	6.62%	9.08%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.68%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%		
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%		
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%		
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%		
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%		

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
Plan S000C8006			BVAP	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
Primary Elections			HVAP	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.75%	52.11%	61.24%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.44%	2.06%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
		R_Langford	1.15%	1.44%	1.64%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
		R_Mercadante	0.43%	1.28%	0.76%	1.50%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.52%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.47%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
		R_White	1.64%	2.61%	2.10%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.50%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.41%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.74%	13.96%	8.70%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
		D_King	1.45%	4.29%	3.75%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.18%	12.46%	20.38%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
		D_Wetherbee	0.84%	1.64%	0.67%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.45%	56.36%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
	Attorney General (DEM)	R_White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.07%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
		D_Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	D_Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.48%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
		R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.42%	34.83%	43.36%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
		R_Grimsley	21.36%	31.97%	31.49%	25.56%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%
		R_McCalister	8.71%	16.25%	15.43%	20.28%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%
		R_Troutman	34.14%	15.22%	18.23%	8.89%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.26%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%
		D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%
	US Senate (REP)	D_Walker	19.85%	26.32%	27.30%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%
		R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.06%	11.29%	14.55%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Scott	89.70%	89.89%	88.72%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%
		R_Beruff	22.32%	17.11%	17.63%	14.27%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
		R_Rivera	3.73%	3.21%	2.45%	4.63%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.92%	74.53%	70.05%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Young	5.84%	7.56%	5.31%	9.17%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
		D_De La Fuente	4.13%	14.95%	3.92%	2.88%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.72%	9.81%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%
		D_Keith	15.17%	9.79%	12.72%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.27%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%
2014	Governor (REP)	R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.69%	1.67%	2.74%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
	Governor (DEM)	R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.04%	9.61%	14.53%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
		R_Scott	90.46%	86.09%	88.64%	80.91%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
		D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.86%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.97%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
2012	US Senate (REP)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.66%	38.92%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
		D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	60.98%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%
		R_Mack	57.58%	49.35%	58.31%	64.03%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		R_McCalister	18.69%	11.93%	10.92%	13.05%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Stuart	5.97%	6.58%	4.87%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
		R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.16%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
		D_Burkett	22.04%	19.38%	13.66%	14.17%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
		D_Nelson	77.91%	80.61%	86.28%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8006			BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.35%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.68%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%	
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.10%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%	
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.90%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%	
		R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.09%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.79%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%	
		R_Putnam	44.42%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%	
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%	
		R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%	



Census and Boundary Statistics

Plan S000C8008

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
			15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	268.8	0.80	0.42	0.44	47	53	351	132	10%	60%	21%	37%	2%	10%
1	0	0.00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0	0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0	0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0	0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.48	0.66	1	2	7	6	6%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
8	0	0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0	0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
10	0	0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
11	0	0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,546	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	5	11%	64%	20%	40%	1%	10%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0	0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0	0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0	0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	260	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	7	16%	5%	38%	2%	6%	45%
22	0	0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	32%	42%	13%	9%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0	0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8008	
	67	47
Number of Counties	47	5
Counties with only one district	20	47
Districts with only one county	53	53
Counties split into more than one district	412	351
Counties with all population in a single district	61	362
Aggregate number of county splits	132	121
Aggregate number of splits with population		
Number of Cities		
Cities with only one district		
Cities split into more than one district		
Cities with all population in only one district		
Aggregate number of city splits		
Aggregate number of splits with population		

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8008

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	72.1	5.5%
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.5	11.1%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	2	39,930	10.6%	233.6	14.1%
Marion	3	154,737	41.2%	874.2	52.6%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	554.9	33.4%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	81.8	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.2%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.5	7.9%
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.3	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.1	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Plan S000C8008

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.6%	Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%						
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.4%	Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	43.7%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%						
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Loxahatchee Groves	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.4%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%						
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Maitland	7	13,664	69.9%	4.8	73.6%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%						
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%						
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%						
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%						
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%						
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.6%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%						
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.4%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.5%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%						
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%						
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%						
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%						
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%	West Palm Beach	22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%						
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.1	77.4%	West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%						
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%	West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%						
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	Mount Dora	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%						
Deerfield Beach	21	41,698	48.0%	8.5	52.6%	Mount Dora	11	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.9%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%						
Deerfield Beach	22	15,811	18.2%	3.0	18.2%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%						
DeFuniak Springs	1	860	14.5%	3.7	25.7%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%						
DeFuniak Springs	2	5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.3%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%	Winter Park	7	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.6%						
Delray Beach	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%	Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.4%						
Delray Beach	22	66,846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%												
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%												
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Orlando	7	35,474	11.5%	9.1	7.6%												
Eatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Orlando	9	86,183	28.0%	63.9	53.8%												
Eatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.6%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%												
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Palm Beach	22	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%												
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%												
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%												
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Pembroke Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%												
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.3%	Pembroke Park	24	6,260	100.0%	1.7	99.2%												
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.8	19.8%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%												
Groveland	11	16,864	91.1%	25.3	96.8%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%												
Groveland	28	1,641	8.9%	0.8	3.2%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%												
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%												
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%												
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%												
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Pompano Beach	21	3,713	3.3%	3.7	14.8%												
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Pompano Beach	22	54,801	48.9%	10.5	42.6%												
Hypoluxo	22	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.8	29.5%												
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	413.0	47.2%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%												
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.5	52.8%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%												
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.0%												
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	9.1%												
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%												
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%												
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%												
Lake Worth Beach	22	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%												
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%												
Lantana	22	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%												
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Sweetwater	25	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%												
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.5	12.5%	Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%												

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8008

Dist.	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																	
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%	
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%	
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.94%	38.46%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%	
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.57%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%	
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%	
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%	
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%	
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%	

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8008

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout				Average General Election Turnout																General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020							
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins				
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG		
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%		
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%		
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.81%	45.72%	32.02%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.12%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%		
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.06%	3.92%	6.62%	9.04%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.67%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%		
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%		
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%		
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%		
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%		

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8008 Primary Elections			BVAP HVAP	43.73% 9.04%	12.81% 50.24%	28.33% 23.38%	51.29% 22.50%	42.14% 37.69%	7.92% 76.89%	10.32% 73.35%	7.07% 74.18%
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%	
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.75%	52.11%	61.25%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%	
		R_Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.44%	2.05%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%	
		R_Langford	1.15%	1.44%	1.64%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%	
		R_Mercadante	0.43%	1.28%	0.76%	1.48%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%	
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.51%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%	
		R_Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.48%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%	
		R_White	1.64%	2.61%	2.10%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.50%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%	
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.41%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%	
		D_Greene	5.74%	13.96%	8.70%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%	
		D_King	1.45%	4.29%	3.75%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%	
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.18%	12.46%	20.37%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%	
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%	
		D_Wetherbee	0.84%	1.64%	0.67%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%	
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.45%	56.38%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%	
		R_White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.05%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%	
		D_Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.49%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.42%	34.83%	43.34%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%	
		R_Grimsley	21.36%	31.97%	31.49%	25.53%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%	
		R_McCalister	8.71%	16.25%	15.43%	20.22%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%	
		R_Troutman	34.14%	15.22%	18.23%	8.87%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%	
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.26%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%	
		D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%	
		D_Walker	19.85%	26.32%	27.30%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%	
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.06%	11.29%	14.51%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%	
		R_Scott	89.70%	89.89%	88.72%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	22.32%	17.11%	17.63%	14.23%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%	
		R_Rivera	3.73%	3.21%	2.45%	4.62%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%	
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.92%	74.53%	70.06%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%	
		R_Young	5.84%	7.56%	5.31%	9.13%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.13%	14.95%	3.92%	2.87%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%	
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.72%	9.80%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%	
		D_Keith	15.17%	9.79%	12.72%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%	
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%	
2014	Governor (REP)	D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.27%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%	
		R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.69%	1.67%	2.72%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%	
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.04%	9.61%	14.51%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%	
	Governor (DEM)	R_Scott	90.46%	86.09%	88.64%	80.82%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%	
		D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.85%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%	
		D_Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.96%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%	
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.66%	38.93%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%	
		D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	60.97%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	57.58%	49.35%	58.31%	64.02%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%	
		R_McCalister	18.69%	11.93%	10.92%	13.00%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%	
		R_Stuart	5.97%	6.58%	4.87%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%	
		R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.11%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.04%	19.38%	13.66%	14.16%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%	
		D_Nelson	77.91%	80.61%	86.28%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%	

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8008			BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.35%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.68%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%	
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.10%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%	
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.90%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%	
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.67%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%	
		R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%	
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.08%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.79%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%	
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%	
		R_Putnam	44.42%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%	
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%	
		R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%	

The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORD

Deliver both copies of this form to
Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

Congressional Redistricting
Bill Number or Topic

11/16/2021

Meeting Date

Reappointment - Congressional

Committee

Amendment Barcode (if applicable)

Name Nicholas Warren

Phone (850) 509-5450

Address 304 West 8th Ave
Street

Email nicholaslvwarren@gmail.com

Tallahassee
City

FL
State

32303
Zip

Speaking: ☐ For ☐ Against ☒ Information

OR

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

☒ I am appearing without
compensation or sponsorship.

☐ I am a registered lobbyist,
representing:

☐ I am not a lobbyist, but received
something of value for my appearance
(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),
sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. [2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf \(flsenate.gov\)](#)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORD

16 Nov 21

Meeting Date

Deliver both copies of this form to
Senate professional staff conducting the meetingReapproved amendment
Congressional Up

Bill Number or Topic

Committee

Amendment Barcode (if applicable)

Name

Cecile Scoon

Phone

850-319-1975

Address

25 E 8th St

Email

cecile@lwvfl.org

Street

Panama City FL 3240

City

State

Zip

Speaking:

☐

For

☐

Against

☒

Information

OR

Waive Speaking:

☐

In Support

☐

Against

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:☐I am appearing without
compensation or sponsorship.☐I am a registered lobbyist,
representing:☒I am not a lobbyist, but received
something of value for my appearance
(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),
sponsored by:

League of Women Voters of Florida

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. [2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf \(flsenate.gov\)](#)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KB 412

Case No.: -

Type:

Caption: Senate Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment

Judge:

Started: 11/16/2021 1:33:40 PM

Ends: 11/16/2021 2:57:30 PM

Length: 01:23:51

1:33:39 PM Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll
1:34:05 PM Chair Bradley gives opening remarks
1:36:50 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question
1:37:13 PM Chair Bradley responds
1:37:20 PM Tab 1: Workshop on Congressional Maps by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
1:48:41 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question
1:49:22 PM Chair Bradley responds
1:54:30 PM Sen. Bean asks a question
1:55:39 PM Chair Bradley responds
2:01:05 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question
2:01:19 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:01:41 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question
2:02:02 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:03:29 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question
2:03:41 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:03:56 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question
2:04:07 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:04:48 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question
2:05:01 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:15:14 PM Sen. Stewart asks a question
2:16:56 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:18:19 PM Sen. Harrell asks a question
2:20:01 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:20:12 PM Sen. Harrell asks a follow up question
2:20:25 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:21:20 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question
2:21:31 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:22:08 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question
2:22:20 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:27:57 PM Sen. Harrell asks a question
2:28:19 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:29:13 PM Chair Bradley asks a question
2:29:31 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:40:10 PM Sen. Stewart makes a comment
2:41:37 PM Sen. Harrell makes a comment
2:43:51 PM Tab 2: Public Comment
2:43:59 PM Cecile Scoon, President of League of Women Voters of Florida gives public comment
2:47:44 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question
2:48:44 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:49:23 PM Sen. Stewart asks a question
2:49:43 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:50:00 PM Sen. Stewart asks a follow-up question
2:50:20 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
2:50:46 PM Nicholas Warren gives public comment
2:56:01 PM Sen. Rouson gives a comment
2:56:32 PM Chair Bradley closes; Sen. Harrell moves to adjourn; meeting adjourned